

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,176 10/30/2003		Chun He	2107-28	9905	
26797	7590 11/03/2004	EXAMINER			
	ALLEY PATENT A LOWER WAY	HASAN, MOI	HASAN, MOHAMMED A		
CUPERTINO, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	2873			

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary		10/698,17	•	HE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mohamme	d Hasan	2873				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no eventh in the statudenth will apply and with apply and wing the apply and wing and wing and wing apply and wing and wing apply	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 153	September 2	004.					
	·-	is action is n	•					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 - 21</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	r)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 - 11</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
_	a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certif	ied copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	(s)		·					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	•			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/2004.	3)	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PT0	<b>)-152)</b>			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 9/5/2004 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO – 1449).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Si et al (5,612,824).

Regarding claim 1, Si et al discloses (refer to figure 3b) a method for assembling an optical device (30), the method comprising: forming a first assembly including a first lens (14a) assembly and an optical filter (32), the optical filter reflecting light beams at wavelength other than a selected wavelength and transmitting a light beam at the selected wavelength, wherein the first assembly possesses a mechanical axis, forming a second assembly including a second lens (14b) assembly, positioning initially the first

Application/Control Number: 10/698,176 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

assembly and the second assembly coaxially with the optical filter (32) facing the second assembly, and adjusting the second assembly laterally away from the mechanical axis of the first assembly such that any light beam refracting from the first assembly is collected with a minimum loss by the second assembly (column 4, lines 5 – 16, i.e., two lenses 14a and 14b, adjusting the second assembly laterally away from the mechanical axis of the first assembly, as shown in figure 4).

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 12 21 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art taken either singularly or in a combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in independent claim 12, for example, which include, a sleeve to encapsulate the first and the second assemblies that are so adjusted in such way that the second assembly is laterally off the mechanical axis of the first assembly as a result any light beam refracting from the first assembly collected with a minimum loss by the second assembly.
- 5. Claims 2 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,176

Art Unit: 2873

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to show measuring a transmission of the light beam from the second assembly and adjusting the second assembly off the mechanical axis of the first assembly such that the transmission of the light beam from the second assembly becomes minimum, the first and second lens lenses are C lenses, the first and second lenses are ball lenses, the distance is obtained with respect to a reflection measurement of a light beam at a wavelength other than the selected wavelength such that the reflection measurement is minimum and encapsulating the first assembly and the second assembly in a sleeve.

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,176

Art Unit: 2873

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammed Hasan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2331. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on (571) 272- 2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,176

Art Unit: 2873

Page 6

МН

October 19, 2004

Georgia Epps
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800